Environmental Exposures and Hepatocellular Carcinoma
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Abstract

Infection with hepatitis B and/or hepatitis C virus is a well-established risk factor for the development of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). However, it is now clear that certain occupational, environmental, and lifestyle factors also play a role in cancer development. Among these factors are smoking, alcohol consumption, workplace exposure to vinyl chloride, and exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and aflatoxins. There is also evidence that several other chemical and infectious agents have a role in inducing HCC in humans. Epidemiologic studies and the use of biomarkers have provided essential data to demonstrate the importance of some of these factors in human risk, while animal studies have suggested that other chemicals may also play a role. Although immunization against hepatitis B virus infection remains the primary method of preventing HCC in regions of the world where this virus is a primary etiologic agent, there is currently no vaccine for hepatitis C virus. Thus, limiting exposure to other known risk factors remains an important mechanism in preventing HCC.

Introduction

Primary hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the leading cancers worldwide, with the highest risk in Asia and sub-Saharan Africa. The USA, like Europe, is generally considered a low-risk area, but nevertheless HCC in the USA has one of the fastest-growing death rates for any cancer, in both men and women. It is well established that the major risk factor for HCC is chronic infection with hepatitis B or C virus (HBV or HCV) and the resulting cirrhosis. In most parts of the world where HCC incidence is high, HBV is the major risk factor. Chronic HBV infection is believed to be responsible for 55% of HCC cases worldwide, and 89% in regions where the virus is endemic or hyperendemic. In the USA, HCV is a major risk factor, with approximately 2% of the population infected. Liver flukes (Opisthorchis viverrini, Clonorchis sinensis) and Schistosoma japonicum, the parasite responsible for schistosomiasis, have also been implicated in the induction of liver cancer (cholangiocarcinoma) in regions where these parasites are common. Human immunodeficiency virus-1 is another suggested risk factor.

In addition to these infectious agents, a number of chemicals have been implicated in human HCC (Table 1). Perhaps the best documented are aflatoxins, for which biomarkers have provided definitive information on exposure. However, other factors, such as smoking, alcohol consumption, and exposure to vinyl chloride and radioactive compounds, have also been associated with liver cancers. In addition, animal studies have implicated a number of additional chemicals to which humans are sometimes exposed, but for which there are insufficient data for them to be definitively classified as human liver carcinogens. Here, we review some of the data associating induction of human HCC with specific chemical exposures.

Aflatoxins

Aflatoxins are mycotoxins produced primarily by Aspergillus flavus. Aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) is a potent carcinogen that commonly contaminates foods such as peanuts, corn, and other grains and legumes (reviewed in 6). Once ingested, AFB1 is metabolized in vivo by the cytochrome P450 system to a highly reactive epoxide that binds to guanine (Fig. 1). This DNA adduct is chemically unstable, and can result in depurination and release of adducts into the urine or opening of the imidazole ring to form a stable ring-opened adduct in the DNA. Replication of damaged DNA, either with apurinic sites or bulky ring-opened adducts, can result in the mutations, translocations, and other alterations associated with HCC development (Fig. 1).

In regions with high HCC incidence, HBV infection and AFB1 exposure frequently occur in combination. In addition, it is difficult to accurately determine individual dietary exposure to AFB1 from analysis of food because of variations in toxin levels between different batches of food. For these reasons, early studies that carried out dietary surveys produced conflicting results on the role of AFB1 in HCC risk. The late 1980s brought the development of biomarkers that could monitor individual exposure to AFB1 by measuring urinary excretion of metabolites and depurinated adducts, DNA adducts in blood or tissue, and protein adducts in blood. There are limited data on the correlation of the various biomarkers of aflatoxin exposure. For example, we have reported a significant association between adduct levels in DNA of tumor tissues and albumin adducts in blood. Mean
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In addition, adduct levels in tumor tissue have been found to be higher than in adjacent non-tumor tissues. The use of these biomarkers in molecular epidemiologic studies has dramatically enhanced our ability to determine the role of aflatoxins in human HCC.

Biomarkers of AFB1 exposure have been used in several different types of epidemiological studies, including ecological, case-control, and prospective nested case-control studies. These studies have been reviewed in detail and here we briefly summarize the findings. Overall, many ecological studies in Africa and China have found a significant association between dietary AFB1 intake (estimated from contaminated foods) and HCC incidence in several populations, but data on the cross-sectional relationship between urinary AFB1 markers and HCC incidence are discordant. Our own cross-sectional survey of 250 residents from eight areas of Taiwan with a fourfold variation in HCC mortality found a positive association between urinary AFB1 metabolites and mortality at the township level, and the association was stronger in females with chronic HBV infection than in uninfected females.

Unfortunately, many ecological studies conducted in high HBV-endemic regions have not considered the effect of HBV infection on HCC risk. The reliability of data on HCC incidence and HBV prevalence is limited, because cancer registries and HBV screening are not nationwide in some countries. Seasonal variations that might affect the levels of
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**Fig. 1.** Metabolism of aflatoxin B1 to the reactive epoxide, which can bind with protein to form adducts at lysine residues or react with DNA to form the N7 guanine adduct. The DNA adduct is chemically unstable and can result in depurination of the DNA. The released modified base can be detected in the urine of exposed individuals. A stable ribose ring opened form of the adduct is also seen in low levels (not shown).

---

**Table 1. Agents known/suspected to induce HCC in humans**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Good evidence</th>
<th>Limited evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B virus</td>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C virus</td>
<td>Arsenic and inorganic arsenic compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aflatoxin B1</td>
<td>Betel quid without tobacco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>Polychlorinated biphenyls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette smoke</td>
<td>Trichloroethylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral contraceptives</td>
<td>X- and gamma-radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plutonium and thorium-232</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl chloride (liver angiosarcoma)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
contamination have also not been considered, and some studies have pooled urine samples from individual cases. The lack of individual-level information is a limitation known as the "ecological fallacy", meaning that an association observed between variables on an aggregate level does not necessarily represent the association that exists at the individual level. However, ecological studies can be performed quickly and inexpensively, and have provided some useful information on the association of AFB₁ intake and HCC risk.

Case-control studies in which cases are recruited at the time of disease diagnosis have frequently been used to investigate the relationship between AFB₁ exposure and HCC risk because it is relatively easy to identify cases from hospital data. Although there are conflicting results, dietary data generally do not show an increased risk associated with ingestion of AFB₁-contaminated foods. However, dietary questionnaire data are inadequate to measure AFB₁ intake because the content of individual foods can vary widely, owing to geographic and seasonal variations. In addition, there may be severe recall bias. AFB₁-albumin adducts in blood and AFB₁-DNA adducts in leukocytes have been measured in case-control studies. However, these results have also been discordant, with only AFB₁-DNA adducts in leukocytes being significantly associated with risk (reviewed in ⁵). Because subjects were selected at the time of diagnosis, it is unclear whether the cancer status affected biomarker levels. The advantages of these studies are their ability to assess the relationship of AFB₁ exposure and HCC risk at the individual level, and to adjust for potential confounders such as HBV infection. However, all such studies published to date have been small, limiting their ability to draw firm conclusions.

A more valid approach to evaluate the role of AFB₁ is through prospective studies, measuring levels of AFB₁ biomarkers in blood/urine specimens collected years before diagnosis. Three major cohort studies have used this study design to address the relationship of AFB₁ exposure and HBV infection with HCC incidence.⁹ The first, a nested case-control study in a cohort of 18,244 men, was conducted in Shanghai (PR China).¹¹ Cases (n = 22) were matched with controls for age and area of residence, and AFB₁ metabolites and AFB₁-N⁷-guanine adducts were measured. A relative risk (RR) of 3.8 (95% confidence interval [CI] 1.2–12.2) was observed after adjusting for factors including HBV surface antigen (HBsAg) status, a measure of chronic infection. A strong interaction between AFB₁ and HBV was also reported (RR 60.1; 95% CI 6.4–561 compared with those negative for HBV and AFB₁), although only seven cases and two controls were positive for both HBsAg and the presence of AFB₁ metabolites.¹¹ A subsequent follow-up study from this cohort, with a total of 50 cases, showed that the presence of any urinary AFB₁ biomarker significantly predicted liver cancer (RR 5.0; 95% CI 2.1–11.8).¹²

Our Cancer Screen Project (CSP) recruited individuals aged 30–65 years living in several rural townships of Taiwan, where HBV infection is hyperendemic.¹³–¹⁵ Preliminary results from this prospective cohort, limited to residents of Penghu Island, who have the highest HCC mortality and AFB₁ exposure in Taiwan, demonstrated a 5.5-fold increased risk of HCC in individuals with detectable AFB₁-albumin adducts.¹³ The entire cohort included 12,024 men and 13,594 women; 56 of these individuals developed HCC during the first 3–4 years of follow-up, and 230 HCC cases were identified after 12 years.¹⁴,¹⁶ Analysis of urine and albumin samples resulted in an RR of 1.5 (95% CI 1.0–2.6) for AFB₁-albumin adducts and 1.8 (95% CI 1.2–2.6) for AFB₁ metabolites.¹⁶ We also observed a fourfold increased risk of HCC for HBsAg-negative individuals with higher levels of urinary AFB₁ metabolites (>77 fmol/ml).¹⁶

In the first (smaller) study, we reported an 111-fold increased risk of HCC among HBsAg carriers with high urinary AFB₁ metabolites and a 70-fold increased risk for detectable AFB₁-albumin adducts, compared with those with low/undetectable levels and negative for HBsAg.¹⁷ In the later study, with a much larger sample size, the effect of combined AFB₁ exposure and HBV infection was more consistent with an additive than with a multiplicative model; the odds ratio (OR) for those with AFB₁-albumin adducts above the mean and presence of HBV was 10.38 (95% CI 5.73–18.82) compared with those negative for HBV and with adducts below the mean.¹⁸ Similarly, for those with urinary AFB₁ metabolites above the mean and who were HBV positive, the OR was 15.13 (95% CI 7.83–29.25).

Another study that we conducted in Taiwan, with 4,841 male government workers with chronic HBV infection, has provided additional evidence for the role of AFB₁ intake in the development of HCC.¹⁹ After 5 years of follow-up, we observed a dose-response relationship between HCC and serum level of AFB₁-albumin adducts¹⁷ and urinary AFB₁ metabolites¹⁸ among those who had null genotypes of GSTM1 and GSTTI, two genes involved in detoxifying reactive intermediates, but not among those who had non-null genotypes. In a cohort of Chinese men with chronic HBV infection followed for 10 years, the RR of HCC was also significantly increased in subjects with detectable aflatoxin M1 levels.¹⁹

Overall, prospective studies have shown a strong association between biological markers of AFB₁ exposure and risk of subsequent HCC. The interaction between AFB₁ exposure and HBV infection on HCC risk has been replicated in different cohorts. However, earlier studies, including ours, suggested a synergistic effect, while our more recent study suggested an additive interaction model. The primary reason for these discrepant results may be the small sample sizes in earlier studies. The fraction of HCC cases attributable to aflatoxin exposure has been estimated to be 4.6–28.2%.²⁰

The importance of aflatoxin exposure on HCC incidence in the USA is unknown. Analysis of AFB₁-albumin adducts in healthy US subjects residing in Texas found detectable levels in 21% of participants.²¹ We found detectable levels of AFB₁-DNA adducts in three of 19 tumor tissues from individuals in Texas and Louisiana, and five sera from individuals from three different states were positive for albumin adducts.²²

Alcohol consumption

Alcohol consumption and the resulting cirrhosis have long been recognized as risk factors for HCC development, with the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) indicating a causal relationship in 1988.²³ Alcohol is metabolized by alcohol dehydrogenase and cytochrome P450 2E1 (CYP2E1) to acetaldehyde (Fig. 2, reviewed in ²⁴). While alcohol dehydrogenase is the primary enzyme responsible for the metabolism of alcohol, chronic alcohol ingestion results in induction of CYP2E1 and increased metabolism by this pathway. Acetaldehyde is capable of damaging DNA, producing several different types of mutagenic lesion.²⁵ In addition, the CYP2E1 pathway also results in free-radical production.
Thus, chronic alcohol ingestion results in both DNA adducts and oxidative damage (Fig. 2).

A number of epidemiologic studies have demonstrated that high alcohol consumption is associated with increased HCC risk (reviewed in 24). For example, in one study in Italy, among those without HBV or HCV infection, an alcohol intake of >60 g/day was associated with an OR for HCC of 7.0 (95% CI 4.5–11.1) compared with those with an intake of <60 g/day.25 Compared with subjects with low alcohol intake and who were negative for viral infection, those with high alcohol consumption and HCV (OR 109, 95% CI 50.9–233.0) or HBV (OR 48.6, 95% CI 24.1–98.0) infection were at dramatically increased risk.

Cigarette smoking

Cigarette smoking has been extensively investigated in epidemiologic studies of HCC. The results have been somewhat inconsistent, but suggest a small increase in risk. A 2009 meta-analysis identified 38 cohort studies and 58 case–control studies on liver cancer and cigarette smoking.27 Compared with never-smokers, the adjusted meta-analysis RR for liver cancer was 1.51 (95% CI 1.37–1.67) for current smokers and 1.12 (95% CI 0.78–1.60) for former smokers. The increased risk among current smokers was consistent across different regions, study designs, study sample sizes, and publication periods. A positive dose–response trend was also observed for the number of cigarettes smoked per day. Interestingly, a recent paper that calculated the fraction of attributable risk for HCC associated with smoking as well as viral infection28 found that HBV and HCV infections were associated with attributable risks of 13% and 21%, respectively, whereas smoking carried an attributable risk of almost 50%. Although smoking conveys only a small increase in RR compared with viral infection, its high prevalence leads to a high attributable risk.

A role for smoking in HCC is biologically plausible because several chemicals in tobacco smoke, including 4-aminobiphenyl (4-ABP) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), can be metabolized into reactive carcinogens in the liver. We previously developed antibodies that recognize the DNA adducts of 4-ABP and PAH, and used them to quantify adduct levels in liver tissues of patients with HCC and controls from Taiwan.29,30 After stratification of the immunoperoxidase staining intensities of 4-ABP-DNA adduct levels into tertiles relative to control tissues, multivariate adjusted ORs for HCC were found to increase monotonically, with ORs of 3.4 (95% CI 0.8–14.3) and 6.48 (95% CI 1.6–26.5) for medium and high adduct levels, respectively, compared with low adduct levels. These same tissues were also stained for the presence of PAH-DNA adducts and staining intensity was classified by tertile. ORs again increased for medium (OR 2.3, 95% CI 0.6–9.1) and high (OR 3.9, 95% CI 1.0–14.9) adduct levels compared with low adduct levels. In addition to these two adducts, AFB1-DNA adducts were also measured. Compared with the combined subjects with low levels of all three adducts or high levels of only one adduct, subjects with high levels of two adducts had an OR of 13.1 (95% CI 3.1–55.5), while those with elevated levels of all three adducts had the highest risk (OR 36.7; 95% CI 7.2–187.2). CIs were wide, primarily because of difficulties with obtaining liver tissue from controls; only 37 samples were available compared with 105 from cases. However, these data suggest the importance of multiple exposures in HCC induction.

PAH exposure was also measured in the Taiwanese CSP cohort described above, using albumin adducts in blood as a biomarker.31 When compared with subjects in the lowest quantile, there was an increased risk of HCC, with adjusted ORs of 1.0 (95% CI 0.5–2.0), 1.2 (95% CI 0.6–2.4), and 2.0 (95% CI 1.0–4.2) (P\textsubscript{trend} = 0.08) for subjects in the second, third, and fourth quantiles, respectively.

Other chemicals

Liver angiosarcoma has been reported in workers extensively exposed to vinyl chloride monomer, the gas used in the production of polyvinyl chloride. A follow-up study of more than 1,600 Italian male workers indicated that autoclave workers with the highest exposures to vinyl chloride had an almost 10-fold increased risk of HCC compared to workers with low or no exposure.32 In addition to aflatoxins, alcohol, and vinyl chloride, the IARC lists older high-dose combined oral contraceptives, plutonium, and thorium-232 and its decay products (colloidal thorium dioxide [Thorotrast], used as an angiographic agent) as agents with sufficient evidence in humans to be identified as liver carcinogens (http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Classification/Table4.pdf). Among the agents the IARC lists as having limited evidence in humans are androgenic steroids, arsenic and inorganic arsenic compounds, betel quid without tobacco, polychlorinated biphenyls, trichloroethylene, and X- and gamma-radiation.

Animal studies of liver carcinogens

Animal studies have identified a number of compounds that induce liver cancer in rodents; whether these compounds also induce HCC in humans is unclear. Wogan has reviewed genotoxic and non-genotoxic animal liver carcinogens.33 The genotoxic animal carcinogens for which there is potential human exposure include mycotoxin contaminants of food.
crops (aflatoxins B$_1$, G$_1$, and M$_1$; ochratoxin A), a toxin produced by cyanobacteria (blue-green algae) in polluted drinking water (microcystin-LR), and constituents of plants used for food or medicine (cycasin [methylazoxymethanol], alkylbenzene derivatives [safrole, estragole, methyleugenol, beta asarone], and pyrrolizidine alkaloids). The processing and cooking of foods is also known to result in the production of liver carcinogens, including N-nitroso compounds (dimethyl-nitrosamine, N-nitrosopyrrolidine) and heterocyclic amines (IQ, MeIQx, amino acid pyrolysis products).

Tamoxifen induces liver tumors in rats but not in mice or hamsters; there are no data suggesting an increased risk for HCC in women taking this drug. Non-genotoxic rodent-liver carcinogens and tumor promoters to which humans can be exposed include mycotoxins (fumonisins), peroxisome proliferators (trichloroethylene, diethylytherphthalate, cloffibrate), chlorinated hydrocarbons (organochlorine pesticides, e.g. dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane [DDT], chlorodane, pentachlorophenol), polychlorinated biphenyls, 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo(dioxin) (TCDD), solvents such as chloroform, and the drug oxazepam. The importance of these chemicals in human HCC risk remains to be determined.

Conclusions

HBV infection is a well-established risk factor for the development of HCC. Fortunately, a vaccine to prevent infection is available, and has led to a decreased incidence of HCC in countries where universal HBV immunization has been initiated. Data from Taiwan demonstrate an effectiveness rate of 78–87% for the vaccine, based on the seroprevalence of HBsAg. 34 Unfortunately, a vaccine for HCV infection is not currently available, meaning this remains a significant public health problem in the USA. As we learn more about the role of diet, lifestyle, and chemical exposure in HCC development, there will be additional opportunities to intervene and prevent this often fatal disease.
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